



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,715	09/29/2006	Sureshchandra B. Patel		2121

7590
Sureshchandra B Patel
37 Miller Street
Toronto Ontario, M6N2Z6
CANADA

EXAMINER	
NGHIEM, MICHAEL P	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2863	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
04/13/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>		Application No. 10/594,715 Applicant(s) PATEL, SURESHCHANDRA B. Examiner MICHAEL P. NGHIEM	Art Unit 2863
--	--	--	-------------------------

All Participants:

(1) MICHAEL P. NGHIEM.

(2) SURESH PATEL.

Date of Interview: 7 April 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 7:00 PM

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph

Claims discussed:

28-36

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michael P Nghiem/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2863
 4-12-2010

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed claim amendments to overcome the 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph rejections and drawing amendments to place the case in condition for allowance. Applicant agreed to (i) correct claim informalities (ii) label Figs. 5-7 as -- Prior Art --; (iii) label all drawing sheets as -- Replacement Sheet --; and (iv) cancel claims 31-36 to overcome the drawing objections and/or since they are directed to prior art features. Applicant proposed adding new dependent claim 37 to recite features shown in Fig. 4 and described in paragraph 0067. These changes may be incorporated in an Ex's Amendment